## Addressing gender and nutrition issues in climate-smart technology design and dissemination

**Cracking** *Technology Adoption the Nut*. 18 *and Resilience* 

Elizabeth Bryan, Senior Research Analyst, International Food Policy Research Institute

e.bryan@cgiar.org

Cristina Manfre, Senior Associate, Cultural Practice LLC,

cmanfre@culturalpractice.com

## **Today Session**

- 2:45 3:00 Introduction and Warm Up
- 3:00 3:30 Presentations
- 3:30 3:45 Q&A
- 3:45 4:05 Activity
- 4:05 4:15 Wrap Up

# Session Objectives

- Introduce different frameworks for addressing gender and nutrition issues in climate-smart technology design and dissemination
- Explore the gender and nutrition dimensions of agricultural technologies

# Warm-up Activity

INSTRUCTIONS Reflect on 2-3 questions by yourself. Write your answers down.

Share your answers with 1<sup>st</sup> person. Move and share your answers with 2<sup>nd</sup> person. Move and share your answers with a 3<sup>rd</sup> person.

With the 3<sup>rd</sup> person, join another pair. You are now a group of 4. Discuss and answer the next questions.

#### QUESTIONS

- Why do we care about gender and nutrition in the context of climate change?
- How can technologies address climate change, gender equality, and nutritional goals?
- Can you think of a technology that meets these goals? What is it and how does it respond to climate change, gender equality, and nutritional goals?

### WHY DO WE CARE ABOUT GENDER AND NUTRITION IN THE CONTEXT OF CLIMATE CHANGE?

- Ensure social inclusion and gender equality: *who is adopting and benefitting from CSA and who is not?*
- Mitigate potential harm: *how can we catch and reduce unintended negative consequences related to gender and nutrition?*
- Enhances CSA effectiveness and impact: *How can we maximize the contribution of both men and women?*
- Achieve co-benefits/other development outcomes: *how will CSA maximize nutrition benefits through health, diets, and care?*

### Gender Climate Change and Nutrition Integration Initiative (GCAN) Framework

#### Framework for Climate, Gender, and Nutrition



## WHERE IS NUTRITION IN THE FRAMEWORK?

#### Framework for Climate, Gender, and Nutrition



Bryan et al. 2017

## WHERE IS GENDER IN THE FRAMEWORK?

#### Framework for Climate, Gender, and Nutrition



### How We Use the GCAN Framework

- Frame synthesis of literature on climate change, gender and nutrition in selected countries
- Guide engagement with missions during week-long engagements
- Identify research gaps on key elements and relationships in the country context
- Support integration of gender and nutrition in climate risk screening activities
- Develop tools to support project implementation and learning

- Absorptive Capacity: How does the ability to *absorb* direct impacts of climate change differ between groups of people?
  - LIVELIHOODS
  - INFRASTRUCTURE
  - SOCIAL POLICIES & SAFETY NETS
  - HEALTH and NUTRITIONAL STATUS
  - NATURAL RESOURCE BASE

- Adaptive Capacity: What factors influence men's and women's *ability to respond* to climate shocks or stressors? How does this then affect their range of available response options?
  - PERCEPTIONS OF CLIMATE CHANGE AND RISK
  - ASSETS AND RESOURCES
  - INFORMATION AND TECHNOLOGY
  - LABOR
  - INSTITUTIONS (e.g. groups, social norms and land tenure)

- Decision-Making Context: How do preferences and ability to achieve their preferences differ between men and women? How does this affect the response options that are chosen by a household/community?
  - PREFERENCES
  - INTEREST ALIGNMENT
  - INFLUENCE

- Responses: What are common responses observed in response to climate change? Are they considered coping, risk management, adaptive, or transformative?
  - Coping responses
  - Risk management strategies
  - Adaptation
  - Transformative responses
- Which groups of people choose which responses?
- These responses have implications for outcomes

## Think of climate change responses that:

#### Maximize nutrition "entering" the food value chain



Minimize nutrition "exiting" the value chain

Source: Fanzo et al. 2017

- Outcomes: What are the tradeoffs of different response options? How are the costs and benefits of response options distributed among people?
  - FOOD AND NUTRITIONAL SECURITY
  - GENDER EQUITY
  - HEALTH
  - ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY

Assessing how Agricultural Technologies can change Gender Dynamics and Food Security Outcomes

INGENAES Toolkit

## INGENAES

I. Build genderresponsive and nutrition sensitive <u>institutions</u> II. Replicate genderresponsive and nutrition sensitive <u>service</u> <u>delivery</u> <u>mechanisms</u> III. Disseminate <u>technologies</u> that enhance women's productivity and improve nutritional outcomes

IV. Apply genderresponsive and nutritionsensitive <u>approaches and</u> <u>tools</u>

# What is a gender technology assessment?

- An analytical process to understand the potential genderrelated impacts of specific agricultural technologies on men and women
- Uses gender analysis
- Identifies how genderbased constraints shape adoption process and dissemination efforts
- Identifies specific actions to increase access and adoption

# Key areas of analysis

Understanding the gender dynamics related to:

- The impact of the technology on food availability, quality, and safety
- The potential consequences on men's and women's time and labor
- The extent to which the technology alters the amount or the control of the income by men and/or women



# Digital Fat Tester, Bangladesh

- Strengthening Dairy Value Chain Project, CARE
- Double the dairyrelated incomes of smallholder farmers in northwest Bangladesh addressing the major challenges to improving smallholder participation in the value chain

and the second
(003) BOITHAVANGA
Date :21-11-12
Time :16:21:20 E
Member No. & Name:
8800880300010033
Rano Begum
Milk Type:Cow
Qty(Lt):001.50
Fat % :05.4
CLR : 29
SNF % :08.80
Rate/Lt:47.59
Amt(Rs):0071.38

## Understand the context



- Gender dynamics
  - Limited mobility of women
  - Women responsible for care of cows
- Value chain or agricultural context
  - Farmers and milk collection centers
- Technology
  - Measure fat percentage in milk
  - Improve price transparency and provide information on milk quality to farmers

## Time and Labor

- What impact does the technology have on men's or women's time?
- In what ways does it improve or worsen labor conditions for men or women?
- In what ways does it reduce drudgery for men or women?
- Does the technology shift labor between men and women?

- Distance to collection points meant men were largely involved
- Moving milk collection centers closer to villages increased women's involvement in marketing
- Women expressed willingness to spend more time to care for cows, if that meant access to income

# Food Availability, Quality, & Safety

- How does the technology improve food availability overall, at different times of year, and for different people in the household?
- What are men's and women's different preferences for food quality (e.g., for taste, for processing)?
- How does the technology improve food safety for men and for women?

- Women report increase in milk production from 3-4 liters/day to 5-7 liters/day
- Household consumption increased, especially by children
- Women understand how care of cows is linked to quality and to price

## Income and assets

- To what extent do women or men have access to and control over the income derived from increased sales of the targeted crop or product?
- Does the innovation have the potential to shift income patterns in the household?
- Does the shift in labor result in a loss or gain of income for different groups (e.g., landless women who earn income through wage labor)?
- In what ways might it create additional employment opportunities?

- Women's enhanced role in milk marketing increased their access to income
- Introduction of DFT created new employment opportunities for men and women as DFT operators

## A few important notes

- It's not just about the technology. Other complementary interventions matter.
- It's not just about the technology. It's about the context in which the technology is being used: who, why, and where.
- The assessment is a snapshot, not about attribution.
- Decisions about what to do need to weigh the potential trade-offs between multiple objectives, e.g., timeincome
- Innovation introduces change. What kind of change do we want to support?

# Strategies

- Acceptable: Designed and disseminated with women as partners and meet their needs and preferences
- Affordable: Are priced to accommodate women's cash flow and/or income or demonstrate value for women
- Accessible: Accommodates women's time and labor constraints
- Opportunistic: Looks for ways of creating new opportunities for women or addresses women's other constraints



## Activity

- Divide into small groups.
- Read the short description of a response option and discuss the questions with your group.
- Assign a note-taker and someone who will report out.
- Be sure to record your answers on a flipchart.

## For more information

• See Technology Toolkit on INGENAES website:

<u>http://ingenaes.illinois.edu/technology-assessment-</u> <u>toolkit/</u>

- For more GCAN information and materials visit: <u>https://gcan.ifpri.info/</u>
- Sign up to receive the GCAN monthly newsletter: <u>http://gcan.ifpri.info/sign-up-for-the-cgcan-</u> <u>newsletter/</u>